

Roy F Weston, Inc Suite 5700 700 5th Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104-5057 206-521-7600 • Fax 206-521-7601

MEMORANDUM

DATE 4 November 1998

TO. David Bennett, WAM, U.S. EPA, Region X

FROM. Roger McGinnis, Senior Environmental Chemist, WESTON, Seattle

SUBJECT Validation of Grainsize Data

Laboratory Batch 1001-007-14

Site Duwamish River

WORK ASSIGNMENT NO. 46-23-0JZZ

WORK ORDER NO.: 4000-019-038-5200-00

DOC. CONTROL NO.: 4000-019-038-AAAK

cc Bruce Woods, RAP-WAM, U S EPA, Region X

Dena Hughes, Site Manager, WESTON, Seattle

Kevin Mundell-Jackson, Database Management, WESTON, Seattle

The quality assurance review of 20 sediment samples, laboratory batch 1001-007-14, collected from the Duwamish River has been completed. The sediment samples were analyzed for grainsize by Rosa Environmental using the PSEP modification to ASTM Method 422. The samples were numbered:

98364007	98364011	98364015	98364019	98364023
98364008	98364012	98364016	98364020	98364024
98364009	98364013	98364017	98364021	98364025
98364010	98364014	98364018	98364022	98364026

Data Qualifications

The following comments refer to the laboratory performance in meeting the quality control criteria described in the technical specifications of the laboratory subcontract.

This document was prepared by Roy F Weston, Inc. expressly for the EPA. It shall not be disclosed in whole or in part without the express, written permission of the EPA.





QA Review Batch 1001-007-14 (Grainsize) Site Duwamish River Page 2

1. Sample Holding Times—Acceptable

All samples were cooled with ice or refrigerated from the time of collection until analysis. A maximum holding time of six months was specified in the Duwamish River Sampling and Analysis Plan. All grainsize analyses were performed within 23 days of sample collection.

2 Laboratory Triplicate Analysis—Acceptable

Triplicate analysis was performed on sample 98364007 The laboratory triplicate percent relative standard deviation was within quality control limits of less than 25 percent for all fractions

3. Field Duplicate Analysis—Acceptable

Samples 98364011 and 98364012 were field duplicates The relative percent differences (RPDs) between duplicate measurements was within quality control limits of 35 percent for all fractions.

4. Sieve Sample Recovery

Combined sieve fraction weights were within recovery limits of 80 to 120 percent compared to the initial dry sieve sample weight for all samples.

[OR]

Combined sieve fraction weights were within limits of 80 to 120 percent compared to the initial dry sieve sample weight for all samples except

Sample	Percent Recovery
98364014	75 9
98364015	78 6
98364016	65 8
98364017	78 3
98364017 replicate 2	75 3

This document was prepared by Roy F Weston, Inc expressly for the EPA. It shall not be disclosed in whole or in part without the express, written permission of the EPA



QA Review Batch 1001-007-14 (Grainsize)

Site. Duwamish River

Page 3

Sample	Percent Recovery
98364017 replicate 3	77 8
98364018	61.4
98364024	66 2

Results for the sand classes associated with the sample numbers listed above were qualified as estimated (J)

5. Pipette Sample Recovery

Sample size for pipette analysis of silt and clay fractions was within PSEP guidelines of 5 to 25 grams. Sample recoveries were within QC limits of 80 to 120 percent for all samples except.

Sample	Percent Recovery
98364022	134 2

Results for the silt and clay classes associated with the sample numbers listed above were qualified as estimated (J).

6 Total Sample Recovery

Total combined sample percent recovery (sieve and pipet) was within QC limits of 95 to 105 percent.

7. Sample Analysis

All laboratory deliverables were present and complete No problems were noted

8 Laboratory Contact

No laboratory contact was required.

This document was prepared by Roy F Weston, Inc expressly for the EPA. It shall not be disclosed in whole or in part without the express, written permission of the EPA



QA Review Batch 1001-007-14 (Grainsize) Site. Duwamish River

Page 4

Data Assessment

Upon consideration of the data qualifications noted above, the data are ACCEPTABLE for use except where flagged with data qualifiers that modify the usefulness of the individual values

Data Qualifiers

- U The compound was analyzed for, but was not detected.
- UJ The compound was analyzed for, but was not detected The associated quantitation limit is an estimate because quality control criteria were not met
- The analyte was positively identified, but the associated numerical value is an
 estimated quantity because quality control criteria were not met or because concentrations reported are less than the quantitation limit or lowest calibration standard.
- R Quality control indicates that data are unusable (compound may or may not be present) Resampling and reanalysis are necessary for verification.

This document was prepared by Roy F Weston, Inc expressly for the EPA. It shall not be disclosed in whole or in part without the express, written permission of the EPA

Rosa Environmental Geotechnical Laboratory, LLC

Roy F. Weston, Inc Project No · 4000-027-001-2019-38 Apparent Grain Size Distribution Summary Percent Finer Than Indicated Size

	_			_				·		_	_	_	_		_									
Clay	2	1 00	11 92	10.20	7 14	11 54	10 94	11 82	2 89	12 12	11 94	11 74	10 34	10 22	10 17	8 72	6 27	136	4 92	152 ₹	9 94	11 41	8 14	5 70
	6	2 00	16.90	14 99	10 73	17 44	16 51	17.58	4.28	17 67	17 39	17 58	14 73	14 44	14 81	12 23	9.47	2 20	6 19	1713	14 98	16 40	1191	838
Silt	8	3 90	23 32	23 53	15 11	26 14	25 13	26 58	627	26 10	25 04	26 47	2134	21 27	20.17	17.35	14 72	3 41	7 86	2513	21 77	24 58	17 23	11.75
	2	7 80	31 42	34.75	22 60	37 78	37 82	40.62	9 52	42 29	38 24	41 29	33.20	33 25	33 69	27 95	24 42	5 38	11 08	3315	31.04	39 08	28 81	18 17
	9	15.60	43 63	53 36	42 95	59 61	54.55	57.63	13.77	63 21	57 55	57 86	50 82	50 83	51 72	47 02	38 44	787	17 62	4.27 5	48 37	65 11	46 81	31 84
	5	31.00	53,49	72.06	65 00	76 29	89 69	73 64	18 36	87.62	74 94	77.63	71 26	72 46	72 86	76 80	60 58	10 67	35 63	568 J	99 09	90 49	66 97	52 27
Very Fine Sand	4	#230	61 19	85 90	74 99	85 64	78.59	83.72	21.92	95 02 3	89 94 3	88 57 3	88.48 J	87 61 J	89.06 3	93.92 J	76.19	16 12	47 50	13 39	70.44	97 02 -5	76.19	82 69
Fine Sand	3	#120	69 37	92 76	82.29	90.24	82 71	87.78	25 50	97 263	93 763	96 46 3	94 50 51	93.78 5	94 94 S	96 28 3	84 88	21 72	61 55	37.10	89 22	97.903	81.80	86.88
Medium Sand	7	#60	76.54	94 56	87 55	92.45	85 42	90 54	47.28	98.10 J	95 02 J	98.15 5	96 24 5	95 40 3	96.425	97 07 3	92 78	32 50	75 71	64 85	84.16	98.355	88 00	96.96
Coarse Sand	1	#35	89 56	97 54	94 54	94 46	88.19	90 82	87.84	99 19 3	96 15 J	99 26 ₹	98.24 5	97 52 3	97 90 3	97 77 S	97 84	68 04	88 04	92 06	89 78	98 793	96 41	98 48
Very Coarse Sand	0	#18	97.69	99 23	98 04	96.02	90 52	93 71	96 18	99.94 S	97.50 ∑	99.98 ℃	99 71 3	99 59 J	99 33 5	99 14 5	99 33	09 06	95 22	99.24	92 05	99 55 3	95 56	99 53
Ö	L1	#10 (2000)	69 66	99 66	90 66	96 92	92 51	95.91	97 61	100 00	98 62 5	100 001	100.00	S 66 66	99 93 J	100 00	99 82	95 11	98.91	99 63	93 65	100 00	82 66	100 00
	-2	#4	100 00	100 00	100 00	98 23	94 77	100 00	98 92	100 00	100.00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	96 26	100 00	100 00	96 26	100 00	99.86	100 00
	-3	.8/£	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100.00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100.00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00	100 00
Sample No	Phi Size	Sieve Size (microns)	98364007	98364008	98364009	98364010	98354011	98364012	98364013	98364014	98364015	98364016	98364017	98364017 (2)	98364017 (3)	98364018	98364019	98364020	98364021	98364022	98364023	98364024	98364025	98364026

Notes to the Testing

1 Apparent grain size distributions according to PSEP protocols

10/26/98